ACADEMIC SERVCIES 2016-17

Quality Process Sheet 12: Impact assessment and scrutiny required

The impact assessment process is meant to be "risk-based" and ensure the appropriate level of scrutiny is given to curriculum. The following are designed as a guide to help determine impact, but ultimately it is the decision of the Quality Account Manager and Faculty Executive.

| Type of change | Initial approval | Design | Additional scrutiny for collaborative provision | Minimum faculty sign off | Approval |
|--|--|---|---|---|----------|
| High | | | | | |
| New programmes (UWE) | -MIA signed off by PDG | Design phase (programme and module specifications and programme design and consultation document must be completed) | | High impact changes must have | CAP |
| New programmes (partner) | -Relevant collaborative provision process completed (new partnership or extension if a current partner) -MIA signed off by PDG | Design phase (programme and module specifications and programme design and consultation document must be completed) | Programme delivery meeting | faculty scrutiny and be signed off by the chair of ASQC before going to CAP for approval. This faculty scrutiny can happen at ASQC or another designated faculty group. | CAP |
| Changes affecting more than two-thirds of the learning outcomes/assessment/credits (ie change affects more than 240 credits for a standard UG programme) | -RIA signed off by a faculty exec member | Design phase (programme and module specifications and programme design and consultation document must be completed) Any material changes or changes affecting a | | | CAP |

ACADEMIC SERVCIES 2016-17

| | | partner to be flagged in the RIA. | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|---|-----|
| Medium | | | | | |
| Roll out of an approved programme at a partner | -APT14 (extension at an existing partner or full institutional approval process for a new partner) -MIA signed off by PDG | Design phase (programme design and consultation document must be completed) | Programme delivery meeting | Medium impact changes must have faculty sign off by the chair of ASQC or nominee before | CAP |
| New modules (or a complete re-write of a module) | RIA signed off by faculty exec or nominee | Design phase (module specification must be completed) | | going to CAP for approval. | |
| Change to programme effecting more than one-third and less than two-thirds of the learning outcomes/assessment/credits (ie change affects more than 120 credits up to, and including 240 credits for a standard UG programme) | RIA signed off by faculty exec or nominee | RIA must reflect consultation with students and clear rationale. Any material changes or changes affecting a partner to be flagged in the RIA. Design and consultation document to be completed if deemed necessary by QAM/AD | | | |
| 4 th low impact change to a programme | RIA signed off by faculty exec or nominee | QAM to flag this is 4 th low impact change to faculty contact. Design and consultation document to be completed if deemed necessary by QAM/AD. | | | |

ACADEMIC SERVCIES 2016-17

| Low | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|------------------|
| Change to assessment | RIA signed off by faculty exec or nominee | Any material changes or changes affecting a partner to be flagged in the RIA. | | Low impact changes must have faculty sign off through the RIA before going to CAP for approval. | CAP (starred) |
| Change to programme effecting one-third or less of the learning outcomes/assessments/credits (ie change affects up to 120 credits for a standard UG programme) | RIA signed off by faculty exec or nominee | Any material changes or changes affecting a partner to be flagged in the RIA. | | | |
| Changes to module name | RIA signed off by faculty exec or nominee | Any material changes or changes affecting a partner to be flagged in the RIA. | | | |