

Presentation by

Dr Tim Chatterton Senior Research Fellow

Air Quality Management Resource Centre

Putting people at the heart of Air Quality Management: Developing a more social approach to emissions analysis and reduction.

Dr Tim Chatterton

With Jo Barnes, Enda Hayes, James Longhurst, Ben Williams UWE, Bristol

Centre for Transport and Society Winter Conference, UWE Bristol, 14th December 2016



Vehicles don't cause pollution...

People do.





Presentation

- A new approach to Air Quality Management
- Moving from "What and Where" to include "Who and Why"
- Why? The role of social activities
- Who? Differentials between the polluters and the polluted
- Conclusions





Air Quality Management vs Air Pollution Control

Pollution Control = Use of predominantly technical measures (end-of-pipe or before) to control *emissions* from individual sources (stacks or exhaust pipes)

Air Quality Management = The control of diffuse sources to achieve reductions in *ambient concentrations* of pollutants Air Quality Management has failed!

- Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) process was designed in 1997 when it was expected that there would be "*a handful of AQMAs in large cities and metropolitan areas*"
- **2005:** Achievement date for UK NO₂ AQ Objective
- **By 2008:** 225 LAs (52%) had AQMAs (≈500 AQMAs in total)
- Now: 260 LAs (67%) with AQMAs (650 AQMAs)
- These are not 'localised hotspots' they are local manifestations of a national problem
- Not going to be solved by 5 "Clean Air Zones"



A new approach to Air Quality Management WHO & WHY not just WHERE AND WHAT!

- Traditionally AQM has focussed very much on 'hotspots' where concentrations are highest
 = WHERE
- It also focusses on the objects that emit the pollution (e.g. cars, industrial plant, boilers etc.)
 = WHAT

We propose:

- Looking not at cars but at drivers/owners = WHO
- Apportioning emissions not by type of vehicle but by the type of journey being undertaken = WHY



Why is Pollution Created?



European Commission



Moving from *point of use....*

Figure 1.4: Domestic transport CO2 emissions as carbon, UK, 2005



...to journey purpose

Figure 3.3: Estimated CO₂ emissions from all modes of passenger transport by journey purpose, GB, 2002/2006 average



Source: DfT analysis



The 'Mobility System'





The role of 'activities'

- Transport is generated through the participation of people within sets of socially constructed 'activities'
- How it is considered 'normal' to participate in these is established through a range of things from physical infrastructure to cultural conventions
- Organisations (employers, service providers etc.) at the heart of these activities often take unrestricted (auto)mobility as a given
- Where some attention is paid (green travel plans etc.) this is often seen as helping out individual travellers...
- ..rather than addressing a fundamental part of their 'business model'



Who is Creating Pollution?

- Looking at the "Polluter" not just the "Polluted"
- Who are the people driving the cars that cause the emissions?
- Do the people who cause the most pollution suffer from the most pollution?
- What types of areas lead to the most emissions?
- Are their social or structural reasons for this?

UK MOT (Ministry of Transport) test





Contains National Statistics data © Crown copyright and database right 2012



Contains National Statistics data © Crown copyright and database right 2012



Office for National Statistics Output Area Classifications

OAC Supergroup	Dominant OAC Group per LSOA	
Rural Residents	 ^{1a} Farming Communities ^{1b} Rural Tenants ^{1c} Ageing Rural Dwellers 	27 Groups 76 Subgroups
Cosmopolitans	 ^{2a} Students Around Campus ^{2b} Inner-City Students ^{2c} Comfortable Cosmopolitans ^{2d} Aspiring and Affluent 	Modal OAC
Ethnic Central	 ^{3a} Ethnic Family Life ^{3b} Endeavouring Ethnic Mix ^{3c} Ethnic Dynamics ^{3d} Aspirational Techies 	used to classify LSOA
Multicultural Metropolitans	 ^{4a} Rented Family Living ^{4b} Challenged Asian Terraces ^{4c} Asian Traits 	(average of 3 OAs per LSOA)
Urbanites	^{5a} Urban Professionals and Families ^{5b} Ageing Urban Living	
Suburbanites	6a Suburban Achievers 6b Semi-Detached Suburbia	
Constrained City Dwellers	 ^{7a} Challenged Diversity ^{7b} Constrained Flat Dwellers ^{7c} White Communities ^{7d} Ageing City Dwellers 	
Hard Pressed Living	 ^{8a} Industrious Communities ^{8b} Challenged Terraced Workers ^{8c} Hard-Pressed Ageing Workers ^{8d} Migration and Churn 	AND MANY

Contains National Statistics data © Crown copyright and database right 2012

Emissions vs Exposure

Mean NO $_2$ concentration per LSOA (μ g/m 3)



NOx Emissions from Private Registered Vehicles (t/y 2011)







Emission Factors vs Distance Driven





Annual km ('000s) driven per Household (with car)



What next? (1)

ClairCity

- H2020 ClairCity project
- 4 year project: 2016-2020
- 10 Research + 6 City partners across Europe
- Extend 'social activities' approach to quantitative emissions and concentration modelling for alternative source apportionment
- Use new approaches to carry out improved public engagement with city management (through workshops, game and app)





What next? (2)

- HARVEST (HARnessing emerging Vehicle data for Sustainable Transport)
- Combining traditional 'point-of-use' approach with MOT registered keeper viewpoint
- Done by linking problem locations e.g. CAZs to owners and route choices, using:
 - Conventional transport models
 - ANPR data
 - Vehicle telematics (via The Floow)
 - Other data sources incl. mobile phones and bluetooth

Summary



- AQM has failed to achieve both high levels of public engagement or to address activity part of the equation: *emissions = emission factors x activity*
- A social rather than technocentric and point-of-use approaches may help and should be complementary to current practice
- This will help to address the social and structural inequalities related to both the causes of air pollution and its impacts....
- And enable widespread emission reductions not just hotspot management – linking more clearly to energy and carbon agendas and achieving co-benefits



Thank You!

http://www.Fleximobility.Solutions http://MOTproject.net http://ClairCity.eu

tim.chatterton@uwe.ac.uk

The work has been undertaken under EPSRC Grants EP/K000438/1 (MOT) and EP/J00460X/1 (Disruption) and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement 689289 (ClairCity). Contains National Statistics and Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2012.



